

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,661	Applicant(s) GIERING ET AL.	
	Examiner EDWYN LABAZE	Art Unit 2887	

All Participants:

(1) EDWYN LABAZE.

(2) MR. JUSTIN CASSELL.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 9:30 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

Bratchley (U.S. 6,155,605)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/EDWYN LABAZE/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called Mr. Cassell to inform him that claim 20 does not include the allowable subject matter and proposed to cancel 20 in order to render the claimed invention in condition for allowance. Mr. Cassell graciously accepts the proposal and authorizes an examiner's amendments canceling claim 20..